

It is highly inappropriate for Sinclair Broadcasting to preempt regular programming in favor of a biased documentary against a particular candidate prior to a presidential election. Especially given that the management's political bias and campaign contributions are in favor of the other candidate.

It doesn't matter in the least what candidate is being promoted by these means. If it is inappropriate if not illegal for "certain" types of advertising, fact based or not, to air during an election how can this be allowed?

More and more the public airwaves are being privatized. Sinclair's decision is an outrageous example of large corporations abusing their privilege to use OUR PUBLIC AIRWAVES, free of charge no less, and not living up to their legal obligation to serve the public interest.

This is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. Less news, more hype is bad enough - but this borders on outright media manipulation clearly intended to sway public opinion on the eve of one of the most important and close elections in America's history.

Shame on Sinclair and shame on the FCC for weakening vs. strengthening media ownership rules. Perhaps the FCC may yet rethink the unwise decisions that were made earlier this year and the public may in the future again be served by the assets that belong to them.

Sincerely,
L. Dixon